

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/803,323	HSU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Connolly	2115	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Mark Connolly.

(3) _____

(2) Daniel McClure (applicant's representative).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 February 2007

Time: 9:15

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

12-15 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

During a brief interview, applicant's representative gave permission to the examiner to correct the dependencies for claims 12-15 and 17 by examiners amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)